

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,823	11/04/2003	James O. Smith JR.	CDR-02-011	4446
25537	7590 12/03/2004		EXAM	INER
MCI, INC		CHAN, WING F		
TECHNOLOGY LAW DEPARTMENT 1133 19TH STREET NW, 10TH FLOOR WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2643	-
			DATE MAILED: 12/03/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/699,823	SMITH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Wing F. Chan	2643				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory is  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reon. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty beriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON's statute, cause the application to become ABA	rply be timely filed  r (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status `		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending`in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	') Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 October 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
-	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Coo the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) 🔯 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	4) L Interview So 8) Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) //Mail Date ·				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of In 6) Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2643

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 10, 14-14, 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Steel, Jr. (US PUB. NO. 2004/0111268 with an effective filing date of Nov. 6, 2002, hereinafter Steel).

As to claims 1, 10, 21, Steel discloses a system and method for providing communication as claimed; see Fig. 5, paragraphs 0023 to 0047 for example. Steel teaches receiving a call request from a hearing-impaired party for establishing a call with a hearing party (e.g. [0032]-[0033], identifying a communication assistant (e.g. [0026, 0035, 0036]), forwarding the call to the communication assistant (e.g. [0027, 0028, 0039]), establishing a link to the hearing-impaired party by the communication assistant (e.g. [0040, 0041, 0047), establishing a link to the hearing party by the communication assistant (e.g. [0042, 0044]), generating instant (text) messages (e.g. [0047, 0062]), transmitting the instant messages to the hearing-impaired party.

As to claims 2, 14, 15, 22, 23, see Steel [0044] for example.

As to claims 3, 16, see Steel [0006, 0023] for example.

As to claims 4, 17, see Steel [0006, 0023, 0049] for example.

Art Unit: 2643

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 5, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Steel.

Steel although discloses providing the hearing-impaired party with a user interface window (interface screen), e.g. [0047, 0054], such that the hearing-impaired party is able to communicate information, i.e. called telephone number, text of conversation, with the communication assistant. Steel differs from the claimed invention in not disclosing transmitting an interface screen to the hearing-impaired party in response to the request. As is conventional in the art, a communication assistant

Application/Control Number: 10/699,823

Art Unit: 2643

requests the called party telephone number from the hearing-impaired party, e.g. see Steel [0021], therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Steel's instant messaging relay system to transmit an interface screen to the hearing-impaired party to request for the called telephone number in order to establish the call connection.

6. Claims 6, 7, 12, 13, 27-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Steel as applied to claims 5 above, and further in view of Kay et al (US PAT. NO. 6,430,602 filed Aug. 22, 2000 hereinafter Kay).

As to claims 6, 12, 27-32, Steel differs from the claimed invention in not disclosing the interface screen further includes a second area for allowing the hearing-impaired party to select an instant messaging program to communicate with the communication assistant. However, it is old and well known in the art that there is various different instant messaging programs such as AOL Instant Messenger, Microsoft's Network Messenger services, or YAHOO, for example see Kay col. 1 lines 43-58. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Steel to include in the interface screen a second area for allowing the hearing-impaired party to select the correct instant messaging program to communicate with the communication assistant, i.e. to select the instant messaging program by AOL or Microsoft that's currently in the hearing-impaired party's computer, to ensure compatibility.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,823

Art Unit: 2643

As to claims 7, 13, see Kay col. 5 lines 11+ which discloses the use of an e-mail address (john@aol) for identifying the instant message user.

7. Claims 8, 9, 18-20, 25, 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Steel in view of Hamilton (US PAT. NO. 6,801,613 filed Aug. 31, 2000).

As to claims 8, 18, 25, Steel differs from the claimed invention in not disclosing the voice link from the communication assistant to the hearing party comprise a voice over Internet Protocol (VOIP) link. However, it is old and well known in the art to use voice over Internet Protocol link in ACD systems as an alternative since VOIP link provides cost and bandwidth advantages over traditional PSTN and VOIP also provides both data and voice over the same network, for example see Hamilton col. 20 lines 36-58. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Steel's voice link from the communication assistant to the hearing party comprise a voice over Internet Protocol (VOIP) link to provide cost and bandwidth advantages over traditional PSTN.

As to claims 9, 19, 26, the call between the hearing-impaired party, communication assistant and hearing party is a conference call.

As to claim 20, the examiner takes Official notice that TDD relay services comprises voice carry over environment and speech-to-speech environment when a communication assistant communicates with the hearing party, and when a hearing but

Application/Control Number: 10/699,823

Art Unit: 2643

speech impaired person is involve, the relay call is in a "HCO" or "hearing carry over" relay call environment.

8. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Steel in view of Duffin (US PAT. NO. 5,991,723).

Steel differs from the claimed invention in not disclosing the processor automatically generate text messages corresponding to the voice messages and automatically generate voice messages corresponding to the text messages and transmit the automatically generated messages to both parties.

However, it is old and well known in the art to provide a processor that automatically generate text messages corresponding to the voice messages and automatically generate voice messages corresponding to the text messages and transmit the automatically generated messages to both parties to overcome the disadvantages such as limited number of operators (communication assistants), operators being under utilized, added expense, lacks privacy, etc., for example see Duffin Figs. 1-2, abstract, col. 1 lines 5-63, col. 4 lines 50-60. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Steel to comprise a processor that automatically generate text messages corresponding to the voice messages and automatically generate voice messages corresponding to the text messages and transmit the automatically generated messages to both parties to overcome the disadvantages such as limited number of operators (communication assistants), operators being under utilized, added expense, lacks privacy, etc.

Art Unit: 2643

Furthermore, it has been held that broadly providing a mechanical or automatic means to replace manual activity which has accomplished the same result involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Venner*, 120 USPQ 192.

Page 7

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Carey et al (US PAT. NO. 6,714,793) discloses a method and system for instant messaging across cellular networks and public data network.

Morton (US PAT. NO. 6,480,484) discloses an internet-intranet greeting service.

Bossi et al (US PAT. NO. 6,421,425) discloses an automated communications assistant for the sound-impaired.

Sollee et al (US PAT. NO. 6,757,732) discloses text-based communications over a data network.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Examiner W. F. Chan** whose telephone number is 703-305-4732.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Curtis Kuntz, can be reached at 703-305-4708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

WING F. CHAN

SENIOR PRIMARY EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

WFC 12/1/04